## 1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT TACOMA 7 GERALD S. COMPLITA, CASE NO. C19-5285 BHS 8 Petitioner, ORDER ADOPTING REPORT 9 v. AND RECOMMENDATION 10 JEFFREY A. UTTECHT, 11 Respondent. 12 This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") 13 of the Honorable David W. Christel, United States Magistrate Judge, Dkt. 16, and 14 Petitioner Gerald Complita's ("Complita") objections to the R&R, Dkt. 17. 15 On July 9, 2019, Judge Christel issued the R&R recommending that the Court 16 dismiss Complita's petition without prejudice for failure to exhaust. Dkt. 16. On July 17 22, 2019, Complita filed objections. Dkt. 17. 18 The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's 19 disposition that has been properly objected to. The district judge may accept, reject, or 20 modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the 21 magistrate judge with instructions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). 22

1	In this case, Complita contests the well-settled and binding precedent that he must	
2	exhaust his state court remedies before seeking relief in a federal habeas petition. Dkt.	
3	17. Complita's argument do not persuade the Court to carve out an exception to "one of	
4	the pillars of federal habeas corpus jurisprudence." Calderon v. U.S. Dist. Court for N.	
5	Dist. of California, 134 F.3d 981, 984 (9th Cir. 1998). Therefore, the Court having	
6	considered the R&R, Complita's objections, and the remaining record, does hereby find	
7	and order as follows:	
8	(1)	The R&R is <b>ADOPTED</b> ;
9	(2)	Complita's petition is <b>DISMISSED without prejudice</b> ;
0	(3)	A Certificate of Appealability is <b>DENIED</b> ; and
1	(4)	The Clerk shall enter a <b>JUDGMENT</b> and close the case.
12	Date	d this 6th day of September, 2019.
13		$k_{1} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{C}$
4		BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
15		United States District Judge
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		